From: Latessa, Sara H (DEC) To: Coats, Andrea

Cc: Arcaya, Alyssa; Arvizu, Christy; Saporita, Chris

Subject: RE: Language in Draft

Date: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 1:05:36 PM Attachments: Record keeping 10-18-16.docx

Hi Andrea.

Just confirming this a comprehensive response to everything I have sent to Alyssa and Christy to date since our in person meeting, correct? Please see my responses to your listed items...

- 1. Re: comment #4 I am disappointed that EPA does not agree that the Fact Sheet would be the most appropriate location for references to federal regulations. However, I am working with our legal department to address your comment
- 2. Comment addressed
- 3. "Annual" has been added to the Recordkeeping Checklist (attached for your reference) as well as to the Permit itself
- 4. Chloride testing has also been added to the Recordkeeping Checklist (attached for your reference)

-Sara

Sara H. Latessa

Environmental Program Specialist, Division of Water

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

625 Broadway, Albany, NY 12233

P: 518-402-8279 | sara.latessa@dec.ny.gov

www.dec.ny.gov | f | E



From: Coats, Andrea [mailto:Coats.Andrea@epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 4:27 PM

To: Latessa, Sara H (DEC)

Cc: Arcaya, Alyssa; Arvizu, Christy; Saporita, Chris

Subject: Language in Draft

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders or unexpected emails.

Hello Sara.

I wanted to get back to you on a number of items. They are listed below:

- 1. Comment 4 We appreciate you putting the language in the permit itself and will be satisfied upon verification.
- 2. Comment 9 We are satisfied with your explanation that any waste storage structure which overflowed would be in violation.
- 3. Record Keeping Requirements Land Application Requirements EPA had recommended a minimum frequency of yearly for the date(s) of manure application equipment inspection and calibration. This has not been incorporated.
- 4. Record Keeping Requirements Land Application Requirements bullets 7 and 8 EPA is questioning why Chloride is not included as part of the parameters tested since there is a state limitation set for Chloride land application? Please provide an explanation if the testing requirement is deemed unnecessary by NYSDEC.

I look forward to hearing from you shortly regarding the last two items so that we may continue to resolve EPA's comments on the permit. Sorry the turnaround time was this long, but I had taken a few days off and had other issues to deal with.

Andrea